




IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. NO. 1167 /2002

PETITIONER : S. R. Anant, S/o Shri P. R. Anant, aged about 34 years, R/o-C/o Lalji Anant, Qrt. No.- 17/F Road No. 13, Sector-2, Bhilai Distt. Durg(C.G.)

RESPONDENTS : 1. ~~Union Of India,~~
Through Secretary,
Home Department,
Udyog Bhawan, New Dehli



2. Commandant, C.I.S.F. Unit BSP
Bhilai, Dist.-Durg (C.G.)
3. Company Commander, C.I.S.F. Unit BSP
Bhilai, Dist.-Durg (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND OTHER APPROPRIATE DIRECTION OF ORDERS.

1164/2
Shri. Mene

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO. 1167/2002
सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11/02/2003 Shri Prashant Jaiswal with Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Panjak Shrivastava, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri A.S. Gaharwar, Sr. Central Government Standing Counsel for Union of India. Having heard the learned counsel, the Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. Admittedly, pending a Departmental Enquiry, the petitioner has been suspended. It is not disputed that the charge-sheet has also been issued. According to the petitioner, the charges are false and fabricated and as such the charge-sheet is liable to be quashed. This contention cannot be accepted. The quashment is not sought on the ground that the charge-sheet has not been issued by the Disciplinary Authority. That apart, the contention that the petitioner has been falsely implicated, cannot be gone into the proceedings as those matters will have to be established and determined on the basis of evidence. Accordingly, no case for	

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 1167/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	calling any interference with the order in question is made out. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed. However, it goes without saying that the Disciplinary Authority will do well to ensure that the Departmental Enquiry is concluded at an early date, preferably within a period of four months from the date of communication/receipt of this order.	Sd/- P.C.Naik Judge